

# Values of Brownian intersection exponents III: Two-sided exponents

Gregory F. Lawler\*    Oded Schramm†    Wendelin Werner‡

May 17, 2000

## Abstract

This paper determines values of intersection exponents between packs of planar Brownian motions in the half-plane and in the plane that were not derived in our first two papers. For instance, it is proven that the exponent  $\xi(3,3)$  describing the asymptotic decay of the probability of non-intersection between two packs of three independent planar Brownian motions each is  $(73 - 2\sqrt{73})/12$ . More generally, the values of  $\xi(w_1, \dots, w_k)$  and  $\tilde{\xi}(w'_1, \dots, w'_k)$  are determined for all  $k \geq 2$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \geq 1$ ,  $w_3, \dots, w_k \in [0, \infty)$  and all  $w'_1, \dots, w'_k \in [0, \infty)$ . The proof relies on the results derived in our first two papers and applies the same general methods. We first find the two-sided exponents for the stochastic Loewner evolution processes in a half-plane, from which the Brownian intersection exponents are determined via a universality argument.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is a follow-up to the papers [2, 3], in which the exact values of many of the intersection exponents between planar Brownian motions were determined. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the terminology and the results of [2, 3], to which we also refer for background (in particular, the link with critical exponents for other models, such as critical percolation or self-avoiding walks in the plane) and a more complete bibliography.

Let us first very briefly recall the definition of these intersection exponents. Suppose that  $k \geq 2$ ,  $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 1$  are integers, and that  $(B^{l,j})_{1 \leq l \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n_l}$  is a collection of independent planar Brownian motions started from distinct points in a half-plane  $H$ . Define the  $k$  packs of Brownian motions  $\mathfrak{B}^l(t) := \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_l} B^{l,j}[0, t]$ ,

---

\*Duke University, Research supported by the National Science Foundation

†Microsoft Research

‡Université Paris-Sud

$l = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Consider the following events:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(t) &= \mathcal{E}_{(n_1, \dots, n_l)}(t) := \bigcap_{1 \leq l < l' \leq k} \{\mathfrak{B}^l(t) \cap \mathfrak{B}^{l'}(t) = \emptyset\}, \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(t) &= \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{(n_1, \dots, n_l)}(t) := \mathcal{E}_{(n_1, \dots, n_l)}(t) \cap \bigcap_{l=1}^k \{\mathfrak{B}^l(t) \subset H\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see, using a subadditivity argument, that when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{E}(t)] \approx t^{-\xi/2}, \quad \mathbf{P}[\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(t)] \approx t^{-\tilde{\xi}/2},$$

for some  $\xi = \xi(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  and  $\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\xi}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , which are called the intersection exponents between  $k$  packs of  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  Brownian motions in the plane and in the half-plane, respectively. Here,  $f \approx g$  means  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \log f / \log g = 1$ .

There exists natural extensions of  $\xi$  and  $\tilde{\xi}$  to non-integer values of  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ . For instance, one can define the exponents  $\xi(1, w)$  and  $\tilde{\xi}(1, w)$  for all  $w > 0$  by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{E}_{(1,1)}(t) \mid \mathfrak{B}^1(t)]^w\right] &\approx t^{-\xi(1,w)/2}, \\ \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{P}[\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{(1,1)}(t) \mid \mathfrak{B}^1(t)]^w\right] &\approx t^{-\tilde{\xi}(1,w)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that these exponents  $\tilde{\xi}(1, w)$  and  $\xi(1, w)$  coincide with the previously defined exponents when  $w$  is a positive integer.

A second generalization are the two-sided exponents  $\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w)$ . One way to define them is as follows: Suppose that  $k = 3$ ,  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$ , that  $H$  is the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy : y > 0\}$ , and that for all  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $B^{l,1}(0) = e^{il\pi/4}$  and define  $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}}^l(t) = (0, e^{il\pi/4}] \cup B^{l,1}[0, t]$  and the event  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(t)$  that  $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}}^l(t)$  for  $l = 1, 2, 3$  are disjoint subsets of the half-plane  $H$ . Loosely speaking, adding the segments  $(0, e^{il\pi/4}]$  ensures that the three Brownian motions maintain their cyclic order around zero. Then  $\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w)$  is defined for all  $w > 0$  by

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{P}[\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(t) \mid \mathfrak{B}^2(t)]^w\right] \approx t^{-\tilde{\xi}(w,1,w)/2}.$$

These exponents  $\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w)$  coincide with the above definition when  $w$  is an integer [5].

It has been shown in [5] that there exists a unique extension of  $\xi$  and  $\tilde{\xi}$  to non-integer values of  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  that is symmetric in its arguments and satisfies the ‘‘cascade relations’’ (for all  $1 < j < k - 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(n_1, \dots, n_k) &= \xi(n_1, \dots, n_j, \tilde{\xi}(n_{j+1}, \dots, n_k)), \\ \tilde{\xi}(n_1, \dots, n_k) &= \tilde{\xi}(n_1, \dots, n_j, \tilde{\xi}(n_{j+1}, \dots, n_k)).\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

The extension of  $\tilde{\xi}$  is valid for all positive  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  while the extension of  $\xi$  also requires that at least two of the arguments are greater or equal to 1.

A first consequence [5] of these cascade relations is that the value of all extended exponents  $\xi$  and  $\tilde{\xi}$  (and in particular their values when  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  are positive integers) can be expressed in terms of the functions  $w \mapsto \xi(1, 1, w)$ ,  $w \mapsto \tilde{\xi}(1, w)$  and  $w \mapsto \tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w)$  defined for all  $w > 0$ .

A second consequence [5] is that the (extended) full-plane exponents are expressible as a function of the half-plane exponents

$$\xi(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) = \eta(\tilde{\xi}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k)), \quad (1.2)$$

provided that  $w_1, w_2 \geq 1$ ; however, the function  $\eta$  was not determined in [5].

Set

$$U(x) = \sqrt{x + (1/24)} - \sqrt{1/24}.$$

In [2], we determined the function  $w \mapsto \tilde{\xi}(1/3, w)$ , and using the cascade relations (1.1) concluded that

$$\tilde{\xi}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) = U^{-1}\left(U(w_1) + U(w_2) + \dots + U(w_k)\right) \quad (1.3)$$

holds for all  $k \geq 2$ , all  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1} \in \{p(p+1)/6 : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and all  $w_k > 0$ . The equation (1.3) expands to

$$\tilde{\xi}(w_1, \dots, w_k) = \frac{(\sqrt{24w_1 + 1} + \sqrt{24w_2 + 1} + \dots + \sqrt{24w_k + 1} - (k-1))^2 - 1}{24}.$$

In [3], we showed that  $\xi(1, 1) = 5/4$ , determined the function  $w \mapsto \xi(1, 1, 1, w)$ , and concluded from the cascade relations and (1.3) that

$$\forall x \geq 7 \quad \eta(x) = \frac{(\sqrt{24x + 1} - 1)^2 - 4}{48}. \quad (1.4)$$

Combined with (1.3) and (1.2), this gives the value of  $\xi(w_1, \dots, w_k)$  for a large collection of  $w_1, \dots, w_k$ , but not for all of them.

In the present paper, we will prove the following results.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The identity (1.3) holds for all  $k \geq 2$  and for all  $w_1, \dots, w_k \geq 0$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *The identity (1.4) holds for all  $x \geq \tilde{\xi}(1, 1) = 10/3$ , so that for all  $k \geq 2$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \geq 1$  and  $w_3, \dots, w_k \geq 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(w_1, \dots, w_k) &= \eta \circ U^{-1}(U(w_1) + \dots + U(w_k)) \\ &= \frac{(\sqrt{24w_1 + 1} + \dots + \sqrt{24w_k + 1} - k)^2 - 4}{48}. \end{aligned}$$

These two theorems determine almost all the Brownian intersection exponents. Those which they do not give are  $\xi(n, w)$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and  $w \in (0, 1)$ . It seems that the universality argument, which is used to translate information about  $SLE_6$  exponents to Brownian exponents, cannot be extended to this range. Therefore, the techniques of [2, 3] and the present paper do not suffice.

To complete the picture, in the forthcoming paper [4], we determine these remaining exponents by analytic continuation. There, it will be shown that for integers  $n \geq 1$ , the mapping  $w \mapsto \xi(n, w)$  is real-analytic in  $(0, \infty)$ . Combining this with the above theorems gives the value of  $\xi(n, w)$  for all positive  $w$  (i.e., removing the  $w \geq 1$  condition), and gives the value of the disconnection exponents  $\xi(n, 0) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} \xi(n, w)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . That is, (1.2) also holds when  $k = 2$ ,  $w_1 \in \mathbb{N}_+$  and  $0 \leq w_2 < 1$  and (1.4) holds for all  $x \geq 1$ . This will conclude the determination of all the two-dimensional Brownian intersection exponents that have been defined.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is very similar to the proofs we used to derive (1.3) and (1.4) in [2, 3]. A crucial role is played by the stochastic Loewner evolution process with parameter 6 ( $SLE_6$ ) introduced in [7]. In the present paper, first the two-sided exponents associated to  $SLE_\kappa$  in a half-plane are computed. Then, via a universality argument, the values of the Brownian half-plane exponents  $\tilde{\xi}(1, w_1, 1, w_2)$  are deduced. This leads directly to Theorem 1.1 via the cascade relations satisfied by  $\tilde{\xi}$ . Theorem 1.2 also immediately follows by using the results derived in [3].

Following is a rough and somewhat imprecise comparison of the approach used in the present paper in relation to those of [2] and [3]. In [2], we have studied the expectation of the derivative to any power  $w \geq 0$  of a suitably normalized conformal map onto the complement of a chordal  $SLE_\kappa$  process crossing a rectangle from left to right. The expectation was determined precisely. Its rate of decay as a function of the width corresponds to the exponent  $\tilde{\xi}(1/3, w)$ . The reason that  $1/3$  appears as the first argument, rather than  $1$ , is that the  $SLE_\kappa$  process was permitted to touch one horizontal edge.

In the present paper, we study the expectation of an expression of the form  $f'(x_1)^{w_1} f'(x_2)^{w_2}$ , where  $f$  is a suitably normalized conformal map. The points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  at which these derivatives are computed are on the two sides of the  $SLE_\kappa$  process, hence the name of the paper. The explicit formula for the expectation is not calculated, however, the decay rate as a function of the size of the  $SLE_\kappa$  is determined, which suffices. The decay rate corresponds to the exponent  $\tilde{\xi}(w_1, 1, w_2)$ . The calculation of the decay rate is via an eigenvalue computation, as in [3].

In [3], the decay rate of expectation of a single derivative raised to an arbitrary power  $w_1 > 0$  was calculated for radial  $SLE_\kappa$ .

## 2 Notations and terminology

The present paper builds on the results of our previous papers [2, 3], and it will be assumed that the reader is familiar with the terminology and tools used in these

papers. In particular, we refer to these two papers for definitions and properties of chordal and radial  $SLE_6$ , Brownian excursions in a domain, and their relation to Brownian intersection exponents.

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions, and let  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $l = \infty$ . Say that  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  when  $x \rightarrow l$ , if  $f(x)/g(x) \rightarrow 1$ . Write  $f(x) \approx g(x)$ , if  $\log f(x)/\log g(x) \rightarrow 1$ , and write  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ , if  $f(x)/g(x)$  is bounded above and below by positive finite constants when  $x$  is sufficiently close to  $l$ .

For convenience, just as in [5, 6, 2, 3], we will use  $\pi$ -extremal distance, which is defined as  $\pi$  times the usual extremal distance or extremal length in a domain. The  $\pi$ -extremal distance in a domain  $D$  between two sets  $A, A'$  will be denoted  $\ell(A, A'; D)$ . For more information on extremal length, as well as other basic tools from complex analysis that we shall use (Koebe 1/4 Theorem, Schwarz Lemma), see, for instance, [1].

### 3 Derivative $SLE_\kappa$ exponents

Let  $x \in (0, 1)$ , let  $\kappa > 0$ , let  $K_t$  be the hulls of chordal  $SLE_\kappa$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , from  $x$  to  $\infty$ , and let  $g_t : \mathbb{H} \setminus K_t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be the conformal maps normalized by the hydrodynamic normalization  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} g_t(z) - z = 0$ . In other words, for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $g_t(z)$  is the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - W_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z,$$

where  $t \mapsto W_{t/\kappa}$  is a standard real-valued Brownian motion started from  $W_0 = x$ . The set  $\mathbb{H} \setminus K_{t_0}$  consists of all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $t \mapsto g_t(z)$  is well-defined at least up to time  $t_0$ . Then,  $(K_t, t \geq 0)$  is an increasing family of subsets of  $\mathbb{H}$ : For more details on the definition of  $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ , some of its properties such as scaling, conformal invariance, see [7, 2].

Let

$$T := \inf \{t > 0 : \{0, 1\} \cap \overline{K_t} \neq \emptyset\}$$

denote the first time at which the  $SLE_\kappa$  swallows 0 or 1, and  $T := \infty$  if no such time exists. For all  $t < T$ , let

$$f_t(z) := \frac{g_t(z) - g_t(0)}{g_t(1) - g_t(0)}$$

be the conformal map from  $\mathbb{H} \setminus K_t$  onto the upper half-plane such that  $f_t(0) = 0$ ,  $f_t(1) = 1$  and  $f_t(\infty) = \infty$ . Note that  $f'_t(\infty) = (g_t(1) - g_t(0))^{-1}$  is decreasing and continuous in  $t$  for  $t < T$ . Let  $S := -\lim_{t \nearrow T} \log f'_t(\infty)$ . Perform a time-change as follows: For all  $s \in [0, S]$ , define

$$t(s) := \inf \{t \in [0, T) : f'_t(\infty) \leq e^{-s}\}$$

and the inverse map

$$s(t) := -\log f'_t(\infty)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . For all  $t < T$  define also

$$Y_{s(t)} = Z_t := \frac{W_t - g_t(0)}{g_t(1) - g_t(0)}.$$

(This  $Z_t$  was already used in [2].) Loosely speaking,  $Y_s$  and  $Z_t$  correspond to the image (under  $f_t$ ) of the point where  $K_t$  grows at time  $t$ .

For all  $s < S$ , also set

$$\alpha(s) := -\log f'_{t(s)}(0), \quad \beta(s) = -\log f'_{t(s)}(1).$$

For every  $w_1, w_2 > 0$  and every smooth function  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , let

$$h_F(x, s) = h_F(x, s, w_1, w_2) := \mathbf{E}_x \left[ 1_{\{s < S\}} F(Y_s) \exp(-w_1 \alpha(s) - w_2 \beta(s)) \right],$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_x$  refers to expectation with respect to the  $SLE_\kappa$  started at  $x$ ; that is,  $W_0 = Z_0 = Y_0 = x$ . In particular, write  $h_1$  in case  $F$  is the constant function 1. That is,

$$h_1(x, s) = \mathbf{E}_x \left[ 1_{\{t(s) < T\}} f'_{t(s)}(0)^{w_1} f'_{t(s)}(1)^{w_2} \right].$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *For all  $w_1, w_2 > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$ , there exists some  $c > 0$  such that for all  $x \in (0, 1)$  and all  $s \geq 1$*

$$G(x) \exp(-\lambda s) \leq h_1(x, s) \leq c G(x) \exp(-\lambda s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = \lambda_\kappa(w_1, w_2) &:= \frac{\left( \sqrt{(\kappa-4)^2 + 16\kappa w_1} + \sqrt{(\kappa-4)^2 + 16\kappa w_2} + \kappa \right)^2 - (8-\kappa)^2}{16\kappa}, \\ G(x) &:= x^{a_1}(1-x)^{a_2}, \quad a_j := \frac{\kappa-4 + \sqrt{(4-\kappa)^2 + 16w_j\kappa}}{2\kappa}, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** It can be shown that this theorem also holds when  $w_1 = 0$  and/or  $w_2 = 0$ , but this will not be done here.

**Proof.** This is a first eigenvalue computation, and the proof will follow quite closely the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [3] (which is the corresponding result for radial  $SLE_\kappa$ , but with the derivative computed at only one point). A simple computation (using the definitions of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $Y$ ,  $g_t$  and  $s(t)$ ) shows that for all  $s < S$ ,

$$dY_s = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa Y_s(1-Y_s)}{2}} dB_s + (1-2Y_s) ds, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion. Note also that  $S$  is the first time at which  $Y$  hits  $\{0, 1\}$ , (unless  $S = T = \infty$ ), and that

$$\partial_s \alpha(s) = 1/Y_s, \quad \partial_s \beta(s) = 1/(1-Y_s). \tag{3.2}$$

We first use this to prove that

$$h_G(x, s) = \exp(-\lambda s)G(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $X = [0, 1] \times [0, \infty)$ . Set  $h = h_G$  and let  $\hat{h}(x, s) = \exp(-\lambda s)G(x)$ . Observe that

$$Q_s := h(Y_s, s_0 - s) \exp(-w_1 \alpha(s) - w_2 \beta(s))$$

is a local martingale on  $s \leq s_0$ . (For this, the choice of  $G$  is not important.) Moreover,  $h$  is smooth in  $(0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$ . Consequently, the  $ds$  term in Itô's formula for  $dQ_s$  must vanish; that is,

$$\partial_s h = (1 - 2x) \partial_x h + (1 - x)x \frac{\kappa}{4} \partial_x^2 h - \left( \frac{w_1}{x} + \frac{w_2}{1-x} \right) h. \quad (3.4)$$

It is immediate to verify that  $\hat{h}$  satisfies this differential equation in the interior of  $X$ . It is also clear that  $\hat{h} = h$  on  $\partial X$ .

In a moment, we shall see that  $h$  is continuous in  $X$ . Assuming this for now, an easy application of the maximum principle gives  $h = \hat{h}$ . Indeed, let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and suppose that there is some point  $(x_0, s_0)$  with  $h - \hat{h} \geq \epsilon$ . Among all such points, choose one with  $s_0$  minimal. Since  $h = \hat{h}$  on  $\partial X$ ,  $(x_0, s_0)$  must be in the interior. By minimality of  $s_0$ , it follows that  $\partial_s h(x_0, s_0) - \partial_s \hat{h}(x_0, s_0) \geq 0$  and that  $h(x, s_0) - \hat{h}(x, s_0)$  has a local maximum at  $x_0$ . From the latter fact, we may deduce that  $\partial_x(h - \hat{h}) = 0$  and  $\partial_x^2(h - \hat{h}) \leq 0$  at  $(x_0, s_0)$ . However, these facts put together contradict (3.4), and we may conclude that  $h \leq \hat{h} + \epsilon$ . The same argument shows that  $h \geq \hat{h} - \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $\hat{h} = h$ .

To establish (3.3), it therefore remains to prove the continuity of  $h$ . Suppose that  $Y$  starts at  $Y_0 = x$  where  $0 < x < 2^{-n_0-2} \min\{s_0, 1\}$ , for some constants  $s_0 > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . Define the stopping times  $\nu_0 = 0$  and for all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\nu_{n+1} := \inf\{s > \nu_n : s = \nu_n + Y_{\nu_n} \text{ or } |Y_s - Y_{\nu_n}| \geq Y_{\nu_n}/2\}.$$

Note that for all  $n \leq n_0 - 1$ ,  $0 < Y_{\nu_n} \leq 2^n x$ ,  $\nu_{n+1} \leq \sum_{j=0}^n Y_{\nu_j} \leq 2^{n+1} x$ , so that  $\nu_{n_0} \leq s_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_n$  denote the event

$$\mathcal{R}_n := \{\nu_n = \nu_{n-1} + Y_{\nu_n}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  denote the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the events  $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_n$ . There is a  $c > 0$  such that for  $Y_s < 1/2$ , the diffusion term in (3.1) is bounded below by  $c\sqrt{Y_s}$ , and the drift term is bounded by 1. Hence, it is not difficult (for instance, using Girsanov's formula and Doob's inequality) to see that for all  $n \leq n_0$ , the conditional probability  $\mathbf{P}[\mathcal{R}_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$  is bounded below by a positive constant, which does not depend on  $x$  and  $n$ . On the event  $\mathcal{R}_n$ , we have  $\alpha(\nu_n) - \alpha(\nu_{n-1}) \geq 2/3$ , by (3.2). It follows easily that  $\alpha(s_0)$  tends in probability to  $\infty$  when  $x \searrow 0$ , and therefore (since  $w_1 > 0$ ) that  $h$  tends to zero as  $x \searrow 0$  (uniformly for  $s \geq s_0$ ). A similar argument shows that  $h \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \nearrow 1$ . It is easy to verify that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $h(x, s) \rightarrow G(x)$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in (\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon)$ . It is also

easy to check that  $h(x, s) \rightarrow 0$  when  $(x, s) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  or  $(x, s) \rightarrow (1, 0)$  (note that  $G(0) = G(1) = 0$ ). This shows that  $h$  is continuous in  $X$  and concludes the proof of (3.3).

Since  $G \leq 1$ , it is clear that for all  $s > 0$  and  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$h_1(x, s) \geq h_G(x, s) = e^{-\lambda s} G(x). \quad (3.5)$$

It remains to prove that  $\inf_{x \in (0, 1)} \inf_{s \geq 1} h_G(x, s)/h_1(x, s) > 0$ . The Markov property at time  $s - 1$  shows that it suffices to establish this for  $s = 1$ . Since both  $h_1(\cdot, 1)$  and  $h_G(\cdot, 1)$  are positive and continuous on  $(0, 1)$ , it suffices to prove this when  $x$  is close to 0 and close to 1. By symmetry, it is enough to treat the case where  $x$  is close to 0. Now assume that  $0 < x \leq 1/2$ . For every positive integer  $n$ , let

$$r_n := \inf \left\{ h_G(x, s)/h_1(x, s) : x \in [4^{-n}, 1/2], s \in [1 - 2^{-n}, 1] \right\}.$$

Assume  $4^{-n} \leq x < 4^{-n+1}$ ,  $1 - 2^{-n} \leq s \leq 1$ , and let

$$\tau := \inf \{s : Y_s \in \{0, 4^{-n+1}\}\}.$$

Note that (3.2) gives

$$\mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(2^{-n})} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > 2^{-n}\}} \right] \leq e^{-w_1 2^{n-2}}.$$

Since  $h_1(x, s) \geq h_G(x, s) \geq cx^{a_1}$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and all  $(x, s)$  as chosen above, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(s) - w_2 \beta(s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{s < S, \tau \leq 2^{-n}\}} \right] \geq (1 - \epsilon_n) \mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(s) - w_2 \beta(s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{s < S\}} \right],$$

where  $\epsilon_n := c^{-1} 4^{na_1} e^{-w_1 2^{n-2}}$ . However, since  $s - \tau \geq 1 - 2^{-n+1}$  on the event  $\{\tau \leq 2^{-n}\}$ , and since  $\{s < S, \tau \leq 2^{-n}\} \subset \{Y_\tau = 4^{-n+1}\}$ , the strong Markov property gives

$$\begin{aligned} h_G(x, s) &\geq \mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(s) - w_2 \beta(s)} G(Y_s) \mathbf{1}_{\{s < S, \tau \leq 2^{-n}\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(\tau) - w_2 \beta(\tau)} h_G(4^{-n+1}, s - \tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq 2^{-n}, Y_\tau = 4^{-n+1}\}} \right] \\ &\geq r_{n-1} \mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(\tau) - w_2 \beta(\tau)} h_1(4^{-n+1}, s - \tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq 2^{-n}, Y_\tau = 4^{-n+1}\}} \right] \\ &= r_{n-1} \mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(s) - w_2 \beta(s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{s < S, \tau \leq 2^{-n}\}} \right] \\ &\geq r_{n-1} (1 - \epsilon_n) \mathbf{E}_x \left[ e^{-w_1 \alpha(s) - w_2 \beta(s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{s < S\}} \right] \\ &= r_{n-1} (1 - \epsilon_n) h_1(x, s). \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $r_n \geq (1 - \epsilon_n)r_{n-1}$ . Since  $\sum_n \epsilon_n < \infty$ , this gives  $\inf_n r_n > 0$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Extremal distance exponents

In the previous section, we derived estimates concerning the joint law of  $\log f'(0)$  and  $\log f'(1)$  at the first time at which  $f'_t(\infty) = e^{-s}$ . We now use this result to obtain information concerning the law of the extremal distances at the first time at which  $SLE_\kappa$  reaches distance  $R$ . More precisely, let  $R \geq 1$ , and let  $V_R$  denote the half disk

$$V_R := \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z - 1/2| < R\}.$$

Let  $A_R$  denote the semi-circle  $\mathbb{H} \cap \partial V_R$ . Let  $a \in (0, 1)$ , and consider chordal  $SLE_\kappa$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  from  $a$  to  $\infty$ . Let

$$\tau = \tau_R := \inf\{t : \overline{K}_t \cap A_R \neq \emptyset\},$$

and set

$$\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}_R := \bigcup_{t < \tau} K_t.$$

As before, let  $T$  be the first time that the  $SLE_\kappa$  swallows 0 or 1. Let  $I_1(t) := [0, a] \setminus \overline{K}_t$  and  $I_2(t) := [a, 1] \setminus \overline{K}_t$ . On the event  $\tau < T$ , let  $\mathfrak{L}_1(R) := \ell(I_1(\tau), A_R; \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K})$  denote the  $\pi$ -extremal distance from  $I_1(\tau)$  to  $A_R$  in  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K}$  (or in  $V_R \setminus \mathfrak{K}$  since they are equal), and let  $\mathfrak{L}_2(R) := \ell(I_2(\tau), A_R; \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K})$ . Let

$$H(a, R) = \mathbf{E}_a \left[ 1_{\{\tau < T\}} \exp(-w_1 \mathfrak{L}_1(R) - w_2 \mathfrak{L}_2(R)) \right].$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $w_1, w_2 > 0$ , and let  $\lambda = \lambda_\kappa(w_1, w_2)$  be as in Theorem 3.1. There is a constant  $c = c(\kappa, w_1, w_2)$  such that for all  $R > 2$ ,*

$$\forall a \in (0, 1) \quad H(a, r) \leq c R^{-\lambda}.$$

*On the other hand, for all  $a_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ , there is a  $c' = c'(\kappa, w_1, w_2, a_0) > 0$  such that for all  $R > 2$*

$$\forall a \in [a_0, 1 - a_0] \quad H(a, r) \geq c' R^{-\lambda}.$$

**Proof.** We use the notation of Section 3. Using scaling invariance and a monotonicity argument, it is easy to see that for all  $R > 2$  and  $a \in [a_0, 1 - a_0]$ ,

$$H(1/2, (R+1)/a_0) \leq H(a, R) \leq H(1/2, (R-1)/2),$$

and hence it suffices to show that  $H(1/2, R) \asymp R^{-\lambda}$ .

We now assume that  $a = 1/2$ . Let

$$\sigma_R := t(\log R) = \sup\{t < T : f'_t(\infty) > 1/R\}.$$

For  $t < T$ , let  $\tilde{K}_t$  be the union of  $[0, 1]$  with  $K_t$  and with the reflection of  $K_t$  about the real axis. Observe that  $f_t$  extends conformally to a map  $f_t : \mathbb{C} \setminus \tilde{K}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, 1]$ .

Therefore, the Koebe 1/4 Theorem and the Schwarz Lemma together imply that there is a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that for all  $t < T$ ,

$$c_1^{-1} \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{K}_t) \leq f'_t(\infty)^{-1} \leq c_1 \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{K}_t).$$

Since  $R \leq \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{K}_\tau) \leq 2R$ , this gives

$$\tau_{R/2c_1} \leq \sigma_R \leq \tau_{c_1 R} \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $R \geq c_1$  such that  $\sigma_R < T$ . The Koebe 1/4 Theorem and the Schwarz Lemma then also show that if  $\tau = \tau_R < T$ ,

$$\operatorname{diam}(f_\tau(A_R)) \asymp 1, \quad \operatorname{diam}(f_\tau(A_{2R})) \asymp 1. \quad (4.2)$$

For all  $t < T$ , let  $L_1(t)$  be the length of the image of  $I_1(t)$  under  $f_t$ , and let  $L_2(t)$  be the length of the image of  $I_2(t)$  under  $f_t$ . Recall that  $Z_t = Y_{s(t)}$ , and note that  $\partial_t \log f'_t(x)$  is monotone decreasing in  $x$  when  $f_t(x) \leq Z_t$ , and monotone increasing for  $f_t(x) \geq Z_t$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \log f'_t(x) + \partial_t \log(g_t(1) - g_t(0)) &= \partial_t \log g'_t(x) = \frac{\partial_x \partial_t g_t(x)}{g'_t(x)} \\ &= \frac{-2}{(g_t(x) - W_t)^2} = \frac{-2}{(f_t(x) - Z_t)^2 (g_t(1) - g_t(0))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f'_t(x) \leq f'_t(0)$  for  $x \in I_1(t)$  and  $f'_t(x) \leq f'_t(1)$  for  $x \in I_2(t)$ . Consequently,  $L_1(t) \leq f'_t(0)/2$  and  $L_2(t) \leq f'_t(1)/2$ .

Since the  $\pi$ -extremal distance between  $A_R$  and  $A_{2R}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  is 1, it follows from (4.2) that the Euclidean distance between  $f_\tau(A_R)$  and  $f_\tau(A_{2R})$  is bounded away from zero. Therefore the Euclidean distance between  $f_\tau(A_{2R})$  and  $f_\tau(I_j(\tau))$  is bounded away from zero for  $j = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . It is also bounded from infinity since the diameter of  $f_\tau(A_{2R})$  is bounded. This shows that (provided  $\tau < T$ )

$$\exp(-\ell(A_{2R}, I_1(\tau); \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K}_R)) \asymp \operatorname{length}(f_\tau(I_1(\tau))) \leq f'_\tau(0)/2, \quad (4.3)$$

and similarly for  $I_2$ . Note that

$$\mathfrak{L}_1(R) \leq \ell(A_{2R}, I_1(\tau_R); \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K}_R) \leq \ell(A_{2R}, I_1(\tau_{2R}); \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K}_{2R}) = \mathfrak{L}_1(2R).$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ 1_{\{\tau_{2R} < T\}} \exp(-w_1 \mathfrak{L}_1(2R) - w_2 \mathfrak{L}_2(2R)) \right] \leq c_2 R^{-\lambda}$$

follows from Theorem 3.1, (4.1), and (4.3).

For the other direction, since  $f'_t$  is monotone decreasing on  $(-\infty, 1/2) \setminus K_t$ , if  $\tau < T$ , then

$$\operatorname{length}(f_\tau([-1, 1/2] \setminus \mathfrak{K})) \geq f'_\tau(0)$$

and

$$\operatorname{length}(f_\tau([1/2, 2] \setminus \mathfrak{K})) \geq f'_\tau(1).$$

Hence, when  $\tau < T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f'_\tau(0) &\leq \text{length}(f_\tau([-1, 1/2] \setminus \mathfrak{K})) \\ &\asymp \exp(-\ell(A_{2R}, [-1, 1/2]; \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K}_R)) \\ &\leq \exp(-\ell(A_R, [-1, 1/2]; \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathfrak{K}_R)), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $f'_\tau(1)$ . Combining this with scaling invariance of  $SLE_\kappa$  and Theorem 3.1 then readily shows that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[1_{\{\tau < T\}} \exp(-w_1 \mathfrak{L}_1(R) - w_2 \mathfrak{L}_2(R))\right] \geq c_3 R^{-\lambda},$$

and completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

## 5 The universality argument

Let  $\mu_R$  denote the Brownian excursion measure in the domain  $V_R$ , and let  $B$  denote an excursion. (See [6, 2, 3] for the definition of the excursion measures on simply connected domain and the link with the Brownian intersection exponents). Let  $\mathcal{Q}_B$  denote the event that the initial point  $B(0)$  of  $B$  is in  $(0, 1/2)$ , and the terminal point in  $A_R$ . On this event, let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be the  $\pi$ -extremal distance from  $[0, B(0)]$  to  $A_R$  in  $V_R \setminus B$ , and let  $\mathfrak{L}_B$  be the  $\pi$ -extremal distance from  $[B(0), 1]$  to  $A_R$  in  $V_R \setminus B$ . Then for all  $w, w' > 0$ , when  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}_B) d\mu_R(B) \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')}.$$

Let  $\phi = \phi_B$  be the conformal map from the component  $X = X_B$  of  $V_R \setminus B$  whose boundary contains  $[B(0), 1]$  to a semi-disk  $V_{\tilde{R}(B)}$  such that  $\phi$  takes  $\partial X \cap [B(0), 1]$  onto  $[0, 1]$  and takes  $\partial X \cap A_R$  onto  $A_{\tilde{R}(B)}$ . Set  $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_B := \log \tilde{R}(B)$ . Note that when  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_B = \mathfrak{L}_B + O(1).$$

Hence, for all  $w, w' > 0$ , when  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_B) d\mu_R(B) \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')}.$$

We will need a lemma saying that we can restrict ourselves to the case where  $B(0) < 1/2$  and the conformal map  $\phi$  does not push  $1/2$  too close to 0. More precisely, let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the event that  $\mathcal{Q}_B$  holds and  $\phi(1/2) \in [1/20, 19/20]$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *For all  $w, w' \geq 0$ , as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}_B) d\mu_R(B) \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')}. \quad (5.1)$$

**Proof.** Let  $M := \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z - 1| \leq 9/10\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the event  $B \cap M = \emptyset$ . We first show that  $\mathcal{Q}_B \cap \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, extend  $\phi$  to  $\{\bar{z} : z \in X_B\}$ , by Schwarz reflection. Since  $\phi(1) = 1$  and  $\phi(X_B) \supset X_B$ , it follows from the Schwarz Lemma that  $\phi(x) \leq x$  for all  $x \in [B(0), 1]$ . In particular  $\phi(1/2) \leq 1/2$ . Let  $\psi$  be the conformal map from the disk  $\{z : |z - 1| < 9/10\}$  onto  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$  such that  $\psi(1) = 1$  and  $\psi'(1) > 0$ . The Schwarz Lemma also shows that  $\phi(x) \geq \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in [1/10, 1]$ . Since  $\psi(z) = (10z - 1)^2/(10z - 19)^2$ , it follows that  $\phi(1/2) \geq \psi(1/2) > 1/20$ . This proves  $\mathcal{Q}_B \cap \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ .

On the event  $\mathcal{Q}_B \cap \mathcal{M}$ , let  $\mathfrak{L}'_B := \ell([B(0), 1/10], A_R; V_R \setminus (B \cup M))$  be the  $\pi$ -extremal distance from  $[B(0), 1/10]$  to  $A_R$  in  $V_R \setminus (B \cup M)$ . Let  $L'$  be the  $\pi$ -extremal distance from  $[0, 1/10]$  to  $A_R$  in  $V_R \setminus M$ . It is clear that  $\log R \leq L' \leq \log R + O(1)$ . Consequently, by the restriction property and conformal invariance for Brownian excursions, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{Q}_B} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}'_B) d\mu(B) \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')} . \quad (5.2)$$

Observe that  $\mathfrak{L}_B \leq \mathfrak{L}'_B$  and that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}_B) d\mu(B) \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')} . \quad (5.3)$$

Since the left hand side of (5.1) is between the left hand sides of (5.2) and (5.3), the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\mu_R$  denote the Brownian excursion measure in the domain  $V_R$ , and let  $B$  be an excursion. Let  $\mathbf{P}_R$  denote the law of  $SLE_6$  in  $V_R$  started from  $1/2$ , and let  $\mathfrak{K}$  be as in the previous section. Let  $\mathcal{Q}_B$  be the event that the initial point  $B(0)$  of  $B$  is in  $[0, 1/2]$  and the terminal point is in  $A_R$ , let  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}$  be the event that  $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathbb{H} \cup [0, 1]$ , and let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the event  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}} \cap \mathcal{Q}_B \cap \{\mathfrak{K} \cap B = \emptyset\}$ . On  $\mathcal{Q}$  let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L} &:= \ell([0, B(0)], A_R; V_R \setminus B), \\ \mathfrak{L}_B &:= \ell([B(0), 1], A_R; V_R \setminus B), \\ \mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{K}} &:= \ell([0, 1/2], A_R; V_R \setminus \mathfrak{K}), \\ \mathfrak{L}' &:= \ell([B(0), 1/2], A_R; V_R \setminus (\mathfrak{K} \cup B)), \\ \mathfrak{L}'' &:= \ell([1/2, 1], A_R; V_R \setminus \mathfrak{K}). \end{aligned}$$

We determine the asymptotics as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  of  $\mathbf{E}[1_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}' - w''\mathfrak{L}'')]$  in two different ways.

Given  $B \in \mathcal{Q}_B$ , we may map  $X_B$  onto  $V_{\exp(\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_B)}$  by  $\phi$ . By conformal invariance of  $SLE_6$ , the restriction property of  $SLE_6$  (see [2]) and Theorem 4.1, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}[\exp(-w'\mathfrak{L}' - w''\mathfrak{L}'') \mid B] \leq c \exp(-\lambda_6(w', w'')\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_B) .$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}} 1_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}' - w''\mathfrak{L}'') d\mathbf{P}_R(\mathfrak{K}) d\mu_R(B) \\ & \leq c \int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - \lambda_6(w', w'')\mathfrak{L}_B) d\mu_R(B) \\ & \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, \lambda_6(w', w''))}. \end{aligned}$$

For the other direction, by Theorem 4.1 and by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}} 1_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}' - w''\mathfrak{L}'') d\mathbf{P}_R(\mathfrak{K}) d\mu_R(B) \\ & \geq \int_{\mathcal{H}} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}} 1_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}' - w''\mathfrak{L}'') d\mathbf{P}_R(\mathfrak{K}) d\mu_R(B) \\ & \geq c' \int_{\mathcal{H}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L}) \exp(-\lambda_6(w', w'')\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_B) d\mu_R(B) \\ & \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, \lambda_6(w', w''))}. \end{aligned}$$

We may therefore conclude that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}} 1_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}' - w''\mathfrak{L}'') d\mathbf{P}_R(\mathfrak{K}) d\mu_R(B) \approx R^{-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, \lambda_6(w', w''))}. \quad (5.4)$$

On the other hand, by conformal invariance and the restriction property of the Brownian excursions, given  $\mathfrak{K} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}$ , we have

$$\int 1_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}') d\mu_R(B) \approx \exp(-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{K}}).$$

Consequently, Theorem 4.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_B} 1_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w'\mathfrak{L}' - w''\mathfrak{L}'') d\mu_R(B) d\mathbf{P}_R(\mathfrak{K}) \\ & \approx \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{K}}} \exp(-\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{K}} - w''\mathfrak{L}'') d\mathbf{P}_R(\mathfrak{K}) \\ & \approx R^{-\lambda_6(\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w'), w'')}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with (5.4) gives

$$\lambda_6(\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w'), w'') = \tilde{\xi}(w, 1, \lambda_6(w', w'')). \quad (5.5)$$

Define  $y(w') := \lim_{w \searrow 0} \lambda_6(w, w')$ . First let  $w \searrow 0$  and  $w' \searrow 0$  in (5.5). Recall that  $(w, w') \mapsto \tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w')$  is continuous at  $(0, 0)$  (see e.g., [5]), so that for all  $w'' \geq 0$

$$\lambda_6(1, w'') = \tilde{\xi}(1, y(w'')), \quad (5.6)$$

which shows that  $\tilde{\xi}(1, v) = \lambda_6(1, y^{-1}(v))$  in the case where  $v \geq 1$  (we also derived this result in [2]).

Now  $w \searrow 0$  and  $w'' \searrow 0$  in (5.5) gives

$$y(\tilde{\xi}(1, w')) = \tilde{\xi}(1, y(w')).$$

Combining this with (5.6) and the explicit expression for  $\lambda_6$  shows that for all  $v > 0$

$$\tilde{\xi}(1, v) = y^{-1}(\lambda_6(1, v)) = y(v). \quad (5.7)$$

Finally, letting  $w' \searrow 0$  in (5.5) shows that  $\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, y(w'')) = \lambda_6(\tilde{\xi}(w, 1), w'')$ , which gives

$$\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, \tilde{\xi}(1, w'')) = \lambda_6(y(w), w'').$$

The cascade relations (1.1) and (5.7) applied to the left hand side imply

$$\tilde{\xi}(w, w'') = y^{-1} \circ y^{-1} \circ \lambda_6(y(w), w'').$$

Via further applications of the cascade relations, this leads to the explicit expression for all  $\tilde{\xi}(w_1, \dots, w_k)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** By (1.2) and Theorem 1.1, it suffices to derive the value of  $\xi(1, w, 1, w)$  for all  $w > 0$ . This is a simple combination of Theorem 4.1, the relation between radial and chordal  $SLE_6$  (see [3]), and the computation of exponents for radial  $SLE_6$  (see [3]). The proof is essentially the same as in the final section of [3]. One has to consider (for small  $r > 0$ ) a Brownian excursion  $B$  in the annulus  $A_r = \{z : r < |z| < 1\}$  and an independent radial  $SLE_6$  started at 1 (growing towards 0) stopped when it hits the circle of radius  $r$ , and the event  $\mathcal{C}$  that they both cross the annulus without intersecting each other. Define  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}'$  to be the two  $\pi$ -extremal distances between the two circles in each of the two connected components of  $A_r \setminus (B \cup \mathfrak{K})$  that cross the annulus. The result is derived by estimating the integral of  $\exp(-w\mathfrak{L} - w\mathfrak{L}')$  in two ways. First, fixing  $B$  and applying Theorem 4.1 and [3, Lemma 5.5] gives the exponent  $\xi(1, \lambda_6(w, w))$ ; this is equal to  $\xi(1, \tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w)) = \xi(1, 1, w, w)$  by Theorem 1.1. On the other hand, if we first fix  $\mathfrak{K}$  and use the radial  $SLE_6$  exponents derived in [3] and the value of  $\tilde{\xi}(w, 1, w)$  (from Theorem 1.1), we can compute explicitly the exponent. Since this is almost word for word the same argument as in the final section of [3], we safely leave the details to the reader.  $\square$

The fact that (1.4) is valid for all  $x \geq \tilde{\xi}(1, 1)$  is also an immediate corollary of (1.4) and the analyticity result from [4]. Consequently, Theorem 1.2 also follows from [4] and Theorem 1.1.

## References

- [1] L.V. Ahlfors (1973), Conformal Invariants, Topics in Geometric Function Theory, McGraw-Hill, New-York.

- [2] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner (1999), Values of Brownian intersection exponents I: Half-plane exponents, preprint.
- [3] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner (2000), Values of Brownian intersection exponents II: Plane exponents, preprint.
- [4] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner (2000), Analyticity of planar Brownian intersection exponents, preprint.
- [5] G.F. Lawler, W. Werner (1999), Intersection exponents for planar Brownian motion, Ann. Probab. **27**, 1601-1642.
- [6] G.F. Lawler, W. Werner (1999), Universality for conformally invariant intersection exponents, J. European Math. Soc., to appear
- [7] O. Schramm (1999) Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees, Israel J. Math., to appear.

Greg Lawler  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Box 90320  
 Duke University  
 Durham NC 27708-0320, USA  
 jose@math.duke.edu

Oded Schramm  
 Microsoft Corporation,  
 One Microsoft Way,  
 Redmond, WA 98052; USA  
 schramm@microsoft.com

Wendelin Werner  
 Département de Mathématiques  
 Bât. 425  
 Université Paris-Sud  
 91405 ORSAY cedex, France  
 wendelin.werner@math.u-psud.fr